PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

VI 81 **0**8,23.

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:					PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
				(F	PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 below		
	rnational application T/GB2004/00142		International filing date (c 01.04.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.04.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K38/00, A61K38/17, A61P29/00, A61P37/00, A61P37/08, A61P27/14, A61P17/06						
	licant OLUTEC LIMITE	D		·		
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the follo	owing items:		
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion			
	☑ Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishm	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis applicability; citations and explanations			1(a)(i) with regard to r supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. VI	Certain docume		•		
	☑ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international appl	ication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internations	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON			,	
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	Submit to the 15 =	date of mailing o	TOORTHAL Where annion	rista with amandman	PEA, the applicant is invited to ts, before the expiration of three f 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further option	s, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.			
3:			orm PCT/ISA/220.			
					•	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Markopoulos, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8658



10/551482 JC09 Rec'd PCT/PTO 29 SEP 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001428

_	Po	x No	A Posto of the section			
_	БС)X 14C	b. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	. Wi	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	□	lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
		D i	in written format			
	i	□ i	in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	1		contained in the international application as filed.			
	ı	□ f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	(□ f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		copi	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001428

_	Bo	x No. II	Priority				
1.	1. The following document has not been furnished:						
		⋈	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).				
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
		Consec neverth	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has teless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.				
2.		has bee	inion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001428

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-10 (in part) as well as 1-9 in respect to industrial applicability					
because:						
☒	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-9 in respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-10 (in part)					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleot not comply with the technical re	ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further of	letail	ds			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001428

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Claims 1-10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

10

No: Claims

No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. Claims 1-9 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 2. Since the search has only been carried out for those parts which appear to be clear, supported and disclosed, namely relating to the compounds as defined in claims 8 and 9 and the diseases as defined in claims 2 and 3, the following claims are in part not subject of the international preliminary examination: claims 1-10.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: WO 01/15719 A (NUTTALL PATRICIA ANNE ; PAESEN GUIDO CHRISTIAAN (GB); EVOLUTEC LTD (GB) 8 March 2001 (2001-03-08)
 - D2: WO 01/40469 A (UNIV YALE) 7 June 2001 (2001-06-07)
 - D3: WO 99/27104 A (OXFORD VACS LTD; NUTTALL PATRICIA ANN (GB); PAESEN GUIDO CHRISTIAN (G) 3 June 1999 (1999-06-03)

2. Novelty

D1 claims the use of histacalin proteins which are the same as the proteins mentioned in the present application (eg. EV131) for the treatment of any conjunctivitis, more preferably allergic conjunctivitis. In particular, seasonal and perennial conjunctivitis, as well as vernal keratoconjunctivitis, giant papillary conjunctivitis and atopic keratoconjunctivitis. Hence, claims 1-10 cannot be regarded as novel.

Tick polypeptides are claimed in D2 whereby one of these, Salp25D, possesses histamine-binding motifs and is useful to treat conditions characterized by the production of histamine. Such conditions include, but are not limited to, hayfever, allergic reactions,

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001428

respiratory infections, and others. Other proteins cited are useful in the treatment of autoimmune diseases, including lupus, arthritis and diabetes, and tissue and organ transplant rejection, as well as atherosclerosis. Claims 1-8 and 10 are not novel in view of D2.

D3 claims histamine or serotonin binding compounds capable of binding to histamine or serotonin with a dissociation constant of less than 10-7M and known as FS-HBP1, FS-HBP2, MS-HBP and D.RET6. The use as anti-inflammatory drugs and the treatment of allergies also are claimed rendering claims 1,2, and 4-10 not novel.

3. Inventive step

The document D3 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 1-10.

The subject-matter of claims 1-10 therefore differs from D3 in that the specific diseases cited in claim 3 of the present application are not mentioned in D3.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as finding other applications, ie. diseases, where the mentioned histamine binding compounds can be used in order to treat them.

In case of novelty the following applies:

The solution proposed in claims 1-10 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

It is already known to use the specific histamine binding compounds claimed for the same conditions, namely allergic, inflammatory, and auto-immune conditions from D1-D3. Specific diseases such as conjunctivitis, lupus and atherosclerosis also have been disclosed (D1, D2).

The other diseases cited in claim 3 such as "ARDS", "psoriasis", "ulcerative colitis" and others are merely obvious possibilities of inflammatory, allergic, and auto-immune diseases where the skilled person would apply the known compounds in order to treat them since it is known that any such condition can be treated by the above mentioned proteins. In addition, it has to be remarked that apart from an immune complex model in the mouse, the description only contains examples showing the effects of EV131 in endotoxin-induced

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001428

bronchoconstriction in a murine model for the investigation of its utility in allergic asthma as well as a study related to allergic conjunctivitis.

4. For the assessment of the present claims 1-9 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

Claim 8 contains references to the description, namely references to prior art. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Claims 1-10 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter by reference to a desirable characteristic or in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result, namely (a) the disease condition by defining it as being mediated by neutrophil cells, and (b) the compounds by defining them as being binding to histamine.
- 2. Claim 5 is unclear in comparison to the other claims and in regard to the dissociation constant of <u>greater</u> than 10-7 M since for all the claimed proteins the contrary, namely a dissociation constant of less than 10-7 M is required.